

# Solutions to the Exercises from Lecture 4

University of Amsterdam

March 23, 2017

## Exercise 4.1

Prove that  $BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the closure of  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we will show that the  $BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$  space is closed with respect to the sup-norm. Let  $f$  be a limit point of  $BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  uniformly. As  $BUC(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and the sequence  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly, we know that  $f$  is bounded and continuous, so all that needs to be done to show that  $BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is closed is to prove that  $f$  is uniformly continuous.

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. By the uniform convergence, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\|f_N - f\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ |f_N(x) - f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} < \epsilon/3.$$

As the function  $f_N$  is (bounded and) uniformly continuous, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have that  $|f_N(x) - f_N(y)| < \epsilon/3$ . For this  $\delta$ , we find by the triangle inequality, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(y)| &= |f(x) - f_N(x) + f_N(x) - f_N(y) + f_N(y) - f(y)| \\ &\leq |f(x) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f_N(y)| + |f_N(y) - f(y)| \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f$  is uniformly continuous, so  $BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is closed.

Now, we will show that for every element  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R}^d)$  there exists a sequence  $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that  $g_k \rightarrow f$  in sup-norm. For this, look at the “standard mollifier” on page 2 below, which is taken from Evans’ *Partial Differential Equations*, [1] Appendix C.4 (page 629).

For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the function  $g_k$  by

$$g_k(x) := (\eta_{(1/k)} * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x - y) \, dy.$$

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. Now, note that, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$|g_k(x)| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x - y) \, dy \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x - y)| \, dy \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy = \|f\|_\infty,$$

as  $f$  is uniformly bounded,  $\eta_{(1/k)}$  is non-negative and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy = 1$ . This shows that  $\|g_k\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty < \infty$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

We will proceed by showing that  $\|g_k - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , followed by the proof that, indeed,  $g_k \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .

Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . As  $f$  is a (bounded and) uniformly continuous function, there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $x, y$  with  $|x - y| < \delta$  we have that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon/2$ . Let  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  be large enough to ensure that  $1/K < \delta$ . Then, for every  $k \geq K$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |g_k(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x - y) \, dy - f(x) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x - y) \, dy - f(x) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x - y) \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x - y) - f(x)| \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

**DEFINITIONS.** (i) Define  $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\eta(x) := \begin{cases} C \exp\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2-1}\right) & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

the constant  $C > 0$  selected so that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta \, dx = 1$ .

(ii) For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , set

$$\eta_\epsilon(x) := \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right).$$

We call  $\eta$  the *standard mollifier*. The functions  $\eta_\epsilon$  are  $C^\infty$  and satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\epsilon \, dx = 1, \quad \text{spt}(\eta_\epsilon) \subset B(0, \epsilon).$$

**DEFINITION.** If  $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is locally integrable, define its mollification

$$f^\epsilon := \eta_\epsilon * f \quad \text{in } U_\epsilon.$$

That is,

$$f^\epsilon(x) = \int_U \eta_\epsilon(x-y)f(y) \, dy = \int_{B(0,\epsilon)} \eta_\epsilon(y)f(x-y) \, dy$$

for  $x \in U_\epsilon$ .

Figure 1: “Standard mollifier” from Evans’ *Partial Differential Equations*, [1].

Now note that the support of  $\eta_{(1/k)}$  is contained in  $B(0, 1/k)$ , hence, the function  $\eta_{(1/k)}$  is zero on  $B(0, 1/k)^C$ , the complement of  $B(0, 1/k)$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy &= \int_{B(0, 1/k)} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy \\ &\quad + \int_{B(0, 1/k)^C} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy \end{aligned}$$

and the second integral is zero by the remark we just made. When we look at the first integral, we have that  $y \in B(0, 1/k)$ , i.e.,  $|y| < \frac{1}{k} \leq \frac{1}{K} < \delta$ , which means that we also have that  $|x - (x-y)| = |y| < \delta$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and therefore,

$$|f(x-y) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2},$$

which means that

$$\int_{B(0, 1/k)} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot \int_{B(0, 1/k)} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \, dy = \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Hence, for any  $k \geq K$ , we have

$$|g_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . From this, it follows that, for every  $k \geq K$ ,

$$\|g_k - f\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ |g_k(x) - f(x)| : x \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon,$$

proving that  $\|g_k - f\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$ , as  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary.

To see that  $g_k$  belongs to  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we also need to establish that the function is differentiable and that its derivative is bounded. Let  $k$  be arbitrary. In this case, we have for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$g_k(x) := (\eta_{(1/k)} * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x-y) \, dy.$$

Applying the coordinate transformation  $z = x - y$ , we still integrate over  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and the absolute value of the determinant of the Jacobian matrix is one. Hence,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) f(x-y) \, dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta_{(1/k)}(x-z) f(z) \, dz =: (f * \eta_{(1/k)})(x),$$

for every  $x$ , so  $g_k = f * \eta_{(1/k)}$ . Now, from the properties of mollifiers, as found in [2], we know from Theorem 2 therein, as  $f$  is indeed locally  $L^1$  (it is uniformly bounded) and  $\eta_{(1/k)}$  is  $C^\infty$  with compact support (see Figure 1), that  $g_k$  is  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , so it is in particular  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (this can also be verified by hand using the Dominated Convergence Theorem). To show that it indeed belongs to  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (the definition is not given in the lecture notes, but can be found in subsection E.1.1 in [3]), it suffices to show that all the partial derivatives of  $g_k$  are bounded. Let  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  be arbitrary. Applying Lemma 7 in [2] to  $g_k = f * \eta_{(1/k)}$ , we find that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} g_k(x) = \left( f * \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \eta_{(1/k)} \right)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \eta_{(1/k)}(x-z) \right) \, dz.$$

By using the transformation  $y \mapsto x - z$ , again the absolute value of the determinant of the Jacobian matrix is one, and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(z) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \eta_{(1/k)}(x-z) \right) \, dz = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x-y) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \eta_{(1/k)}(y) \right) \, dy.$$

For  $v$  with  $|v| > 1/k$ , we have that  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \eta_{(1/k)}(v) = 0$ . As  $v \in \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| > 1/k \right\}$  and this set is open, we know that there exists an open ball  $B_{\text{open}}(v, \epsilon)$  with radius  $\epsilon > 0$  around  $v$  such that  $B_{\text{open}}(v, \epsilon) \subset \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| > 1/k \right\}$ . If we denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector with  $\vec{e}_i$ , for all  $h$  small enough, we have that  $v + h\vec{e}_i \in B_{\text{open}}(v, \epsilon)$  and so

$$\eta_{(1/k)}(v + h\vec{e}_i) = 0$$

as  $\text{supp}(\eta_{(1/k)}) \subset B(0, 1/k)$ . Since  $\eta_{(1/k)}(v) = 0$  by the same argument, we find that

$$\frac{\eta_{(1/k)}(v + h\vec{e}_i) - \eta_{(1/k)}(v)}{h} = \frac{0}{h} = 0$$

for all small enough  $h$ , hence  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(v) = 0$ . This means that, if  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(v) \neq 0$ , that  $|v| \leq 1/k$ . Hence,

$$\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(v) \neq 0\right\} \subset \left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| \leq 1/k\right\}$$

and so, we also have that

$$\text{supp}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}\right) = \overline{\left\{v \in \mathbb{R}^d : \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(v) \neq 0\right\}}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} \subset \overline{\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| \leq 1/k\right\}}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^d : |y| \leq 1/k\right\} =: A.$$

Now we observe that  $\frac{\partial \eta_{(1/k)}}{\partial x_i}(x) = k^{d-1} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_i}(kx)$ . We know that  $\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x_i}$  is bounded, by say  $M$ . This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}g_k(x)\right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|f(x-y) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(y)\right)\right| dy \leq \|f\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(y)\right)\right| dy \\ &= \|f\|_\infty \left( \int_A \left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(y)\right)\right| dy + \underbrace{\int_{A^c} \left|\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\eta_{(1/k)}(y)\right)\right| dy}_{=0} \right) \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot M \cdot k^{d+1} \cdot \int_A 1 dy = \|f\|_\infty \cdot M \cdot k \cdot \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + 1\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}g_k(x)\right| \leq \|f\|_\infty \cdot M \cdot k \cdot \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2} + 1\right)}$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . As  $i$  was arbitrary, all partial derivative of  $g_k$  are bounded. Hence,  $\{g_k : k \geq 1\} \subset C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Now, we have the following inclusion and equality of sets,

$$\text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \overline{C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}, \quad \overline{\text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and note that, by the Mean Value Theorem, every (bounded) function with a bounded derivative is uniformly continuous (and bounded), hence

$$C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \text{which implies that } \overline{C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} \subset \overline{\text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}.$$

Combining these inclusions and equalities, we obtain

$$\overline{\text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} = \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \overline{C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty} \subset \overline{\text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}, \quad \text{hence, } \text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \overline{C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\|\cdot\|_\infty}$$

and this shows that  $\text{BUC}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the closure of  $C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (with respect to the sup-norm).  $\square$

## References

- [1] Lawrence C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1998
- [2] *Mollifiers and Smooth Functions* <https://andromeda.rutgers.edu/~loftin/raifal10/mollifier.pdf>, [Online; accessed 20-Dec-2016]
- [3] Luca Lorenzi and Marcello Bertoldi, *Analytical Methods for Markov Semigroups*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Florida, 2007

### Exercise 4.2

Prove that the function  $z \mapsto T(z)f$ , defined in (4.10) is holomorphic in the sector  $\Sigma_\theta$  for every  $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ .

Clearly  $K(z, x) = (4\pi z)^{-d/2} e^{-|x|^2/(4z)}$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . And since for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $z \in \Sigma_\theta$  the function  $y \mapsto \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} K(z, x - y)$  can be written as the product of a polynomial in  $y$  and the mapping  $y \mapsto e^{-|x-y|^2/(4z)}$ , we may conclude that  $y \mapsto \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} K(z, x - y)$  is bounded and integrable with respect to  $y$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \in \Sigma_\theta$ . So by the dominated convergence theorem

$$Af(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} T(z)f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(z, x - y)f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} K(z, x - y)f(y) dy.$$

So for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{T(z+h)f(x) - T(z)f(x)}{h} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial K(z, y)}{\partial z} f(x - y) dy \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{K(z+h, y) - K(z, y)}{h} f(x - y) dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial K(z, y)}{\partial z} f(x - y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{K(z, y) + h \frac{\partial K(z, y)}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 K(z, y)}{\partial z^2} h^2 - K(z, y) - h \frac{\partial K(z, y)}{\partial z}}{h} f(x - y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\partial^2 K(z, y)}{\partial z^2} h \right| |f(x - y)| dy \leq M_1 M_2 |h| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where  $M_1$  the bound of  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $M_2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{\partial^2 K(z, y)}{\partial z^2} \right| dy < \infty$ . So we have shown that for all  $z \in \Sigma_\theta$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{T(z+h)f - T(z)f}{h} - Af \right\|_\infty = 0.$$

This shows that  $T(z)$  is holomorphic in the sector  $\Sigma_\theta$ .

### Exercise 4.3

Prove that the function

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(t, x - y)f(y) dy, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

belongs to  $C^\infty((0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$  for each  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

We can prove by induction that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$  there exists a polynomial  $p_{t,k}$  of degree  $2k$  such that

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} K(t, x) = p_{t,k}(x) e^{-|x|^2/(4t)}.$$

It follows that for all  $t \in (0, \infty)$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the mapping  $x \mapsto \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} K(t, x)$  is bounded and integrable, and by the dominated convergence theorem it follows that

$$\frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial t^k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(t, x - y)f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\partial^k K(t, x - y)}{\partial t^k} f(y) dy$$

A similar reasoning may be applied to the derivatives with respect to  $x_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ .

### Exercise 4.4

Note that it was demonstrated in Remark 4.1.7 that the sectorial operator associated with the Gauss-Weierstrass semigroup is an extension of the operator  $(\Delta, C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . Thus in order to prove that for  $d = 1$  it is in fact equal to  $(\Delta, C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  it suffices to prove that  $D(A) = C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ . To this end consider the following PDE:

$$u'' - u = g, \tag{1}$$

with  $g \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ . One may check that if we define

$$u(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{-x+s} g(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_x^\infty e^{x-s} g(s) ds, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

then  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $u$  satisfies (1). By a change of variables we see that

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-u} (g(u+x) + g(u-x)) du,$$

whence it immediately follows that  $\|u\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|g\|_{C_b(\mathbb{R})}$ . In other words,  $1 \in \rho(\Delta)$  (the resolvent set of  $\Delta$ ). Hence (recalling that  $A$  and  $\Delta$  coincide on  $C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ ) we have that

$$(I - A)D(A) = C_b(\mathbb{R}) = (I - \Delta)C_b^2(\mathbb{R}) = (I - A)C_b^2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Applying  $R(1, A)$  on both sides of the equation above we obtain  $D(A) = C_b^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

### Exercise 4.5

Prove properties (iv), (v) and (vi) in Proposition 4.1.9.

*Proof.* (iv) Continuing from Lemma 4.1.2. (iv), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ijk}K(t, x) &= \left( \frac{-x_i x_j x_k}{8t^3} + \frac{x_i \delta_{jk}}{4t^2} + \frac{x_j \delta_{ik}}{4t^2} + \frac{x_k \delta_{ij}}{4t^2} \right) K(t, x) \\ &= \left( \frac{-x_i x_j x_k}{8t^3} + \langle x, \delta \rangle \right) K(t, x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle x, \delta \rangle := x_i \delta_{jk} + x_j \delta_{ik} + x_k \delta_{ij}$  to simplify notation. Fix  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Applying the above result and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(D_{ijk}T(t)f)(x)|^2 &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{-y_i y_j y_k}{8t^3} + \frac{\langle y, \delta \rangle}{4t^2} \right) K(t, y) f(x-y) dy \right|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} K(t, y) (f(t, x-y))^2 dy \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{-y_i y_j y_k}{8t^3} + \frac{\langle y, \delta \rangle}{4t^2} \right)^2 K(t, y) dy \\ &\leq \|f\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{-y_i y_j y_k}{8t^3} + \frac{\langle y, \delta \rangle}{4t^2} \right)^2 K(t, y) dy \\ &= \|f\|_\infty^2 \left( \frac{1}{64t^6} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^2 y_j^2 y_k^2 K(t, y) dy \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{16t^5} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_i^2 y_j y_k \delta_{jk} + y_i y_j^2 y_k \delta_{ik} + y_i y_j y_k^2 \delta_{ij}) K(t, y) dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{16t^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_i^2 \delta_{jk} + y_j^2 \delta_{ik} + y_k^2 \delta_{ij}) K(t, y) dy \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{8t^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (y_i y_j \delta_{jk} \delta_{ik} + y_i y_k \delta_{jk} \delta_{ij} + y_j y_k \delta_{ik} \delta_{ij}) K(t, y) dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

If  $i = j = k$ , then by (4.11) with  $k = 2, 4, 6$  and  $a$  being the  $i$ -th element of the Euclidean basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^2 K(t, y) dy = 2t, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^4 K(t, y) dy = 12t^2, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^6 K(t, y) dy = 120t^3.$$

If  $i \neq j$  and  $i = k$ , using the one-dimensional version of formula (4.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^4 y_j^2 K(t, y) dy &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_i^4 e^{-\frac{y_i^2}{4t}} dy_i \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_j^2 e^{-\frac{y_j^2}{4t}} dy_j \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{h \neq i, j} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y_h^2}{4t}} dy_h \right) \\ &= 24t^3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^2 y_j^2 K(t, y) dy &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_i^2 e^{-\frac{y_i^2}{4t}} dy_i \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_j^2 e^{-\frac{y_j^2}{4t}} dy_j \right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{h \neq i, j} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y_h^2}{4t}} dy_h \right) \\ &= 4t^2. \end{aligned}$$

If  $i \neq j$  and  $j \neq k$  and  $i \neq k$ , then similarly we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} y_i^2 y_j^2 y_k^2 K(t, y) dy &= \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_i^2 e^{-\frac{y_i^2}{4t}} dy_i \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_j^2 e^{-\frac{y_j^2}{4t}} dy_j \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} y_k^2 e^{-\frac{y_k^2}{4t}} dy_k \right) \prod_{h \neq i, j} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{y_h^2}{4t}} dy_h \right) \\ &= 8t^3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$|(D_{ijk}T(t)f)(x)|^2 \leq \|f\|_\infty^2 \times \begin{cases} \frac{6}{8t^3} & \text{if } i = j = k \\ \frac{2}{8t^3} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i = k \\ \frac{1}{8t^3} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \neq k \text{ and } j \neq k \end{cases}.$$

Property (iv) follows.

(v) As it is immediately seen, if  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $(D_i T(t)f)(x) = (T(t)D_i f)(x)$  for  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$  and, consequently, thanks to property (ii), we can estimate

$$\|D_{ijk}T(t)f\|_\infty = \|D_{ij}T(t)D_k f\|_\infty \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{ij}}}{2t} \|D_k f\|_\infty \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{ij}}}{2t} \|\nabla_x f\|_\infty.$$

Similarly

$$\|D_{ijk}T(t)f\|_\infty \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{jk}}}{2t} \|\nabla_x f\|_\infty.$$

and

$$\|D_{ijk}T(t)f\|_\infty \leq \frac{\sqrt{1 + \delta_{ik}}}{2t} \|\nabla_x f\|_\infty.$$

Property (v) follows.

(vi) As it is immediately seen, if  $f \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $(D_{ij}T(t)f)(x) = (T(t)D_{ij}f)(x)$  for  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$  and, consequently, thanks to property (i), we can estimate

$$\|D_{ijk}T(t)f\|_\infty = \|D_i T(t)D_{jk}f\|_\infty \leq \|\nabla_x T(t)D_{jk}f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} \|D_{jk}f\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2t}} \|D^2 f\|_\infty.$$

□

## Exercise 4.6

Use (4.9) and Proposition 3.2.8 to prove that the operator

$$A + b(\cdot)\nabla \text{ with domain } D(A)$$

generates an analytic semigroup in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $b \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $A$  is the generator of the Gauss-Weierstrass semigroup  $\{T(t)\}$  in  $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

*Proof.* For ease of notation write  $B = b(\cdot)\nabla$ . If we assume  $\|BR(\lambda, A)\| < 1$  for  $Re\lambda > \lambda_0$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the operator  $(I - BR(\lambda, A))$  is invertible, as well as the operator

$$(\lambda I - A) - B = (I - BR(\lambda, A))(\lambda I - A),$$

and

$$R(\lambda, A + B) = R(\lambda, A)(I - BR(\lambda, A))^{-1} = R(\lambda, A) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [BR(\lambda, A)]^k$$

(see Lemma 2.1.11). Then the resolvent set of  $A+B$  contains an half-plane. Moreover  $\|\lambda R(\lambda, A+B)\| \leq \|\lambda R(\lambda, A)\| C \leq MC$ . So we want to show that  $\|BR(\lambda, A)\| < 1$  for  $Re\lambda > \lambda_0$ . Note that  $\|BR(\lambda, A)\| \leq \|b\| \|\nabla R(\lambda, A)\|$ . By the gradient estimates of the Gauss-Weierstrass semigroup we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla R(\lambda, A)f\| &= \left\| \nabla \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} T(t)f dt \right\| \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-Re\lambda t} \|\nabla T(t)f\| dt \\ &\leq \|f\| \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-Re\lambda t}}{\sqrt{2t}} dt = \frac{\|f\|}{\sqrt{2Re\lambda}} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

For  $Re\lambda > \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-s}}{\sqrt{s}} ds \right)^2$  we have the desired result.

□

### Exercise 4.7

Prove that for each  $\theta \in (2, 3)$  there exists a positive  $C_\theta$  such that

$$\|f\|_{C_b^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C_\theta \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta}$$

for every  $f \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . [This assumption is misprinted in the Lecture Notes and is necessary for the  $\|\cdot\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ -norm to exist; compare this with Lemma 4.1.11, where we take  $f \in C_b^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .]

*Proof.* First, note that  $\lfloor \theta \rfloor = 2$ , so

$$\|f\|_{C_b^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} = \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty + \sum_{|\beta|=2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right]_{C^{\theta-\lfloor \theta \rfloor}(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

Note that, by the forum post ‘‘Theorem 4.1.12’’, we find that

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty = \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

This means that, as  $1 = (\theta - 2) + (3 - \theta)$

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty = \left( \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty \right)^{\theta-2} \left( \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty \right)^{3-\theta} \leq \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta}.$$

Moreover, note that, for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(y) \right| \leq \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) \right| + \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(y) \right| \leq 2 \left\| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty \leq 2 \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}$$

and that

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(y) \right| \leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty |x - y|,$$

by similar arguments as in Lemma 4.1.12. We have that

$$\left\| \nabla \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty = \sup \left\{ \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right\|_{\mathbb{R}^d} : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} = \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} &= \left( \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^d \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right|^2 : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right|^2 : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right|^2 : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} = \left( \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right| : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \right)^2 = \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty^2$$

and by the subadditivity of the square root function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(a) \right|^2 : a \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that, for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ ,

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x^{\beta + \vec{e}_i}} \right\|_\infty$$

where  $\vec{e}_i$  is  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . This shows that

$$\left\| \nabla \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}.$$

for every vector  $\beta$  with  $|\beta| = 2$ . Now, with the same arguments as in Lemma 4.1.11, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(y) \right| &= \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(y) \right|^{\theta-2} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(x) - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta}(y) \right|^{3-\theta} \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty^{\theta-2} |x-y|^{\theta-2} 2^{3-\theta} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} |x-y|^{\theta-2} 2^{3-\theta} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta} \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right]_{C^{\theta-\lfloor \theta \rfloor}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq 2^{3-\theta} \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta}$$

for every vector  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^d$  with  $|\beta| = 2$ .

Taking this all together, we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{C_b^\theta(\mathbb{R}^d)} &= \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\beta|} f}{\partial x^\beta} \right\|_\infty + \sum_{|\beta|=2} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^\beta} \right]_{C^{\theta-\lfloor \theta \rfloor}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta} + \sum_{|\beta|=2} 2^{3-\theta} \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta} \\ &= \left( 1 + \sum_{|\beta|=2} 2^{3-\theta} \right) \|f\|_{C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{\theta-2} \|f\|_{C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)}^{3-\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

so the statement holds with  $C_\theta = \left( 1 + \sum_{|\beta|=2} 2^{3-\theta} \right)$ . □